



Prince Albert FMA – Sakâw

Public Advisory Group Handout

Sakâw Askiy Management Inc. is a unique partnership of six forest companies with Saskatchewan operations and two First Nations partners. The entity was formed to jointly assume the FMA and its management. A list of member companies and websites are as follows:

Sakâw Askiy Management Inc. www.sakaw.ca

Carrier Forest Products www.carrierlumber.bc.ca/wood

Edgewood Forest Products www.edgewoodforestproducts.com/index.html

Meadow Lake Mechanical Pulp www.meadowlakepulp.com

Meadow Lake OSB www.meadowlakecsa.com

NorSask Forest Products www.norsask.ca


A.C. Forestry

L&M Forest Products

Montreal Lake Business Ventures

The Forest Management Planning process follows the [Forest Planning Manual](#)¹ that provides guidance and direction for industrial operations.


Figure 1: Forest Planning Hierarchy in Saskatchewan



1

<http://www.environment.gov.sk.ca/adx/asp/adxGetMedia.aspx?DocID=891,897,878,862,244,94,88,Documents&MediaID=1093&Filename=Forest+Management+Planning+Document+2007.pdf&I=English>

Figure 2: Overview of Forest Management Planning Process and Requirements



Public Advisory Group (PAG) Satisfaction Survey

The purpose of this form is to allow participants to provide feedback on the PAG process.

PAG Meeting Date: _____

Please evaluate the following:	N/A (0)	Very poor (1)	Poor (2)	Ave. (3)	Good (4)	Very good (5)
1. I have a good understanding of the purpose of the PAG and my role.						
2. The meeting objectives were achieved.						
3. The PAG Terms of Reference are being adhered to.						
4. Majority of the PAG members were involved in meeting.						
5. The information presented was organized and clear.						
6. Additional information that I asked for was provided.						
7. I have an increased understanding of forest management & the FMP.						
8. I had an opportunity to identify problems, opportunities and to find common solutions.						
9. Efforts have been made to incorporate my comments/concerns into the FMP.						
10. My overall satisfaction with the PAG process.						
11. Facilitator was organized and prepared.						
12. Facilitator ensured all PAG members had an opportunity to voice their perspectives.						
13. Facilitator strived for consensus decision-making.						
14. Communication with PAG members between meetings is adequate.						
15. The meeting location was convenient.						
16. The timing of the meeting was convenient.						
17. The length of the meeting, and topics were adequate.						
18. The refreshment provided for the meeting was adequate.						

Your Suggestions – In order to improve the PAG process, including the running and content of future meetings, please provide comments or suggestions.

Thank you for taking the time to complete the form! Your comments will be incorporated into future meetings.